Overexpression of ATP1B1 predicts an adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia
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ABSTRACT

ATP1B1 encodes the Na,K-ATPase β subunit, a key regulator of the Na+ and K+ electrochemical gradients across the plasma membrane and an essential regulator of cellular activity. We used several microarray datasets to test the prognostic efficacy of ATP1B1 expression in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia (CN-AML). Within the primary cohort (n = 157), high ATP1B1 expression (ATP1B1high) was associated with shorter overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) (P = 0.0068, P = 0.0039, respectively). Similar results were also obtained in the European Leukemia Net (ELN) Intermediate-I genetic category (OS: P = 0.0035, EFS: P = 0.0007). Multivariable analyses confirmed ATP1B1high is an independent predictor of shorter OS (P = 0.042) and EFS (P = 0.035). Analysis of another CN-AML cohort confirmed that ATP1B1high is associated with shorter OS (P = 0.0046, n = 162). In addition, up-regulation of oncogenes/onco-microRNAs such as MYCN, CCND2, CDK6, KIT and miR-155, among others, was associated with ATP1B1high, which may be indicative of ATP1B1’s leukemogenicity. Our results may improve risk stratification and indicate new therapeutic targets for CN-AML.

INTRODUCTION

Cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia (CN-AML) accounts for 40-50% of all AML [1] and shows significantly heterogeneous outcomes [2]. There are no microscopically detectable chromosome abnormalities in the leukemic blasts of CN-AML patients, but mutations, epigenetic changes and dysregulated expression signatures have been all found and used as biomarkers for prognostic evaluation and risk classification [3]. These include mutations of NPM1 [4], CEBPA [5] and FLT3-ITD [6], as well as WT1 [7], DNMT3A [8] and TET2 [9], which are associated with an unfavorable prognosis. Other genes, microRNA and lncRNA [10] found to be associated with prognostic outcomes include BAALC, ERG [11], WT1 [12], DNMT3B [13], TCF4 [14], ITPR2 [15], MAPKBP1 [16], miR-155 [17], CXXC5 [18], let-7a-2 and miR-188 [19]. These biomarkers are useful indicators of the degree of malignancy in leukemia, and help focus targeted therapies. However, they do not clarify the treatment intensity necessary to optimize outcome, which is vital for the future lives of CN-AML patients. Consequently, identification of new biomarkers remains an urgent clinical need. In addition, considering that much about leukemogenic mechanisms remains unknown, new biomarkers that shed light on the underlying molecular events may increase our understanding of myeloid leukemogenesis.

Systematic screening for potential biomarkers has been carried out using several bioinformatics approaches with multiple GEO microarray datasets, and gene signatures that showed both aberrant expression and significant prognostic value were identified (See Figure S1). We previously showed that high expression of ITPR2, which encodes a key regulator of transmembrane calcium ion (Ca2+) transport, was predictive of an adverse outcome for CN-AML patients [15], which stimulated our interest in regulators of metal ion transport.

ATP1B1 encodes Na,K-ATPase β subunit, an integral membrane protein essential for establishing and
maintaining the Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) electrochemical gradients across the plasma membrane. In addition, it was recently reported that targeting of Na,K-ATPase β subunit could induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [20], and that impairment of the Na,K-ATPase β subunit increased the incidence of apoptosis among leukemia cells [21, 22]. These results suggest that ATP1B1 expression may be a useful indicator of prognosis in CN-AML patients.

RESULTS

Overexpression of ATP1B1 in CN-AML

Expression of ATP1B1 was significantly higher in bone marrow (BM) from the primary cohort of 157 CN-AML patients than in normal BM (\(p < 0.001\), Figure 1A, 116 CN-AML vs. 5 normal BM, GEO No: GSE1159), and similar results were obtained using other microarray data (Figure 1B, 9 CN-AML vs. 10 normal BM vs. 10 normal peripheral blood (PB); the 9 CN-AML consisted of 2 BM and 7 PB samples, GEO No: GSE9476). After dividing the 157 CN-AML patients into subgroups based on the mutation status of FLT3, NPM1 or CEBPA (GEO No: GSE6891) (Figure 1C), ATP1B1 showed significantly higher expression in samples with FLT3-ITD (\(n = 66\)) than without FLT3-ITD (\(n = 91\)) (\(p < 0.001\)). By contrast, there was no significant difference in ATP1B1 expression between NPM1-mutated (\(n = 82\)) and wild-type samples (\(n = 75\)) (\(p = 0.644\), Figure 1C), or between single CEBPA-mutated (\(n = 5\)), double CEBPA-mutated (\(n = 16\)) and wild-type samples (\(n = 133\)) (\(p = 0.376, p = 0.305\) and \(p = 0.492\), respectively, Figure 1C). Finally, significantly higher ATP1B1 expression was detected in the European Leukemia Net (ELN) intermediate-I category than in the ELN-favorable category (\(p = 0.003\), Figure 1C).

Pretreatment clinical and molecular characteristics associated with ATP1B1\(^{\text{high}}\)

Among the primary cohort, ATP1B1\(^{\text{high}}\) expressers included more patients with FAB M1 and M2 (\(p = 0.02, p\)
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Figure 1: Differential expression of ATP1B1. (A). CN-AML and normal BM. (B). CN-AML and normal BM and normal PB. (C). FLT3-ITD, NPM1, mutant and wild-type CEBPA, ELN Favorable and Intermediate-I.
Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the 157 CN-AML patients in the primary cohort segregated based on the \textit{ATP1B1} expression levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ATP1B1$^{\text{high}}$, (n = 78)</th>
<th>ATP1B1$^{\text{low}}$, (n = 79)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age, y (range)</td>
<td>49.5 (16-77)</td>
<td>50 (18-77)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, no. (%)</td>
<td>35 (44.9)</td>
<td>38 (48.1)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB subtype, no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-ITD, presented, no.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1, mutated, no.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA, single mutated, no.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA, double mutated, no.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-TKD, presented, no.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-RAS, mutated, no.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-RAS, mutated, no.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH1, mutated, no.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH2, mutated, no.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELN genetic group, no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate-I</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{ERG}, no.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{BAALC}, no.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{LEF1}, no.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{MN1}, no.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{WT1}, no.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{DNMT3B}, no.</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{TCF4}, no.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{ITPR2}, no.</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{MIR155HG}, no.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{MAPKBP1}, no.</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{DNMT3A}, no.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{SPARC}, no.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High \textit{CXXC5}, no.</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FAB, French-American-British classification; ITD, internal tandem duplication; TKD, tyrosine kinase domain; ELN, European Leukemia Net.

High \textit{ERG}, \textit{BAALC}, \textit{LEF1}, \textit{MN1}, \textit{WT1}, \textit{DNMT3B}, \textit{TCF4}, \textit{ITPR2}, \textit{DNMT3A}, \textit{MIR155HG}, \textit{MAPKBP1}, \textit{SPARC} and \textit{CXXC5} expression were defined as an expression level above the median of all samples, respectively.

\(= 0.0015\), respectively) and fewer with FAB M4 and M5 \((P = 0.19, p = 0.0008\), respectively). In the ELN genetic categories, more ATP1B1$^{\text{high}}$ expressers were within intermediate-I \((P = 0.002\), while fewer were within favorable genetic categories. More ATP1B1$^{\text{high}}$ expressers also carried FLT3-ITD, and several known unfavorably prognostic biomarkers were up-regulated in CN-AML (\textit{ERG}, \textit{BAALC}, \textit{MN1}, \textit{WT1}, \textit{DNMT3B}, \textit{TCF4}, \textit{ITPR2}, \textit{DNMT3A}, \textit{SPARC}, \textit{CXXC5}, \textit{MAPKBP1} and \textit{MIR155HG}) (See Table 1 and Figure 2).
Prognostic value of ATP1B1 expression

After dividing the 157 CN-AML patients into two groups based on the median ATP1B1 expression level, ATP1B1^{high} expressers showed significantly shorter overall survival (OS) \((P = 0.0068, \text{Figure 3A})\) and event-free survival (EFS) \((P = 0.0039, \text{Figure 3B})\). Further, ATP1B1^{high} expressers were respectively 1.56 times and 1.55 times as likely to die in the OS \((P = 0.042)\) and EFS \((P = 0.035)\) multivariable models (See Table 2) after adjustment of several known prognostic factors. We also analyzed the prognostic impact of ATP1B1 expression within ELN genetic categories. In the favorable group \((n = 59)\), no significant differences were detected for OS and EFS (See Figure 3C and 3D). In the intermediate-I group \((n = 122)\), however, ATP1B1^{high} patients were associated with dramatically shorter OS \((P = 0.0035, \text{Figure 3E})\) and shorter EFS \((P = 0.0007, \text{Figure 3F})\). In addition, ATP1B1^{high} expressers were significantly associated with shorter estimated OS/EFS at 3 years, whether among the entire 157 CN-AML patient cohort or in the ELN intermediate-I category (See Table S1).

Confirmation that ATP1B1^{high} is an unfavorable biomarker using an independent cohort

The prognostic value of ATP1B1 expression was further confirmed in an independent cohort of 162 CN-AML patients (GEO no: GSE12417), \((P = 0.005, \text{See Figure S3})\). Using a cutoff of median expression, ATP1B1^{high} expressers belonged more to FAB M1 and less to FAB M5 than ATP1B1^{low} expressers \((P = 0.005, P = 0.048, \text{respectively})\). ATP1B1^{high} was also associated with higher expression of ERG, BAALC, MN1, WT1, TCF4,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OS, (n=157)</th>
<th>EFS, (n=157)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR(95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP1B1 expression, high VS low</td>
<td>1.56(1.02-2.40)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, per 10-y increase</td>
<td>1.15(0.99-1.35)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex male VS female</td>
<td>0.79(0.52-1.19)</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-ITD, presented VS others</td>
<td>1.93(1.21-3.07)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1, mutated VS wild type</td>
<td>0.55(0.34-0.87)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA, single mutated VS wild type</td>
<td>0.79(0.32-1.95)</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA, double mutated VS wild type</td>
<td>0.49(0.21-1.11)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HR, hazards ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 2: Associations between ATP1B1 expression and known prognostic biomarkers.
SPARC, DNMT3B and ITPR2 (All \( P < 0.001 \), See Table S2 and Figure S2), as was the case with the primary cohort.

**Genome-wide gene expression profiles associated with \( ATP1B1^{\text{high}} \)**

To investigate the mechanisms by which \( ATP1B1 \) expression affects outcome in CN-AML, we first performed a genome-wide differential analysis after again subdividing the primary cohort of CN-AML patients based on the median \( ATP1B1 \) expression. In the \( ATP1B1^{\text{high}} \) group, 608 genes were up-regulated and 975 were down-regulated as compared to \( ATP1B1^{\text{low}} \) (False Discovery Rate, FDR < 0.05; absolute fold change, FC \( \geq 1.5 \), See Table S3). Notably, many genes known to be associated with worse outcomes in CN-AML/AML were up-regulated, including \( WT1 [12], ITPR2 [15], MAPKBP1 [16], BAALC, ERG [11], MNI [23], SPARC [24], DNMT3B [13], MSI2 [25], GATA2 [26], SOCS2 [27], CXXC5 [18] \) and \( MLLT11 [28] \). The up-regulated genes also included well-known oncogenes and those previously found to be

![Figure 3: The prognostic value of \( ATP1B1 \) expression. (A). OS and (B). EFS among the 157 CN-AML patients in the primary cohort. (C). OS and (D). EFS in the ELN Favorable category. (E). OS and (F). EFS in the ELN Intermediate-I category.](https://www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget)
involved in leukemogenesis, including MYCN, KIT [29], CCND2 and CDK6. The encoded proteins include a cyclin kinase, two mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAP4K3, MAPK7) and a tyrosine kinase (PTK7), which are involved in regulating gene transcription and cell proliferation and differentiation. Other up-regulated genes included CD34, which acts as a marker for hematopoietic progenitor cells, MPL, which initiates and maintains RUNX1-ETO AML [30], CD200, whose up-regulation can promote AML progression [31], SOX4, which is a key oncogenic target in C/EBPα mutant AML [32], ETV6, which often cooperates with other oncogenic signals to induce leukemia [33, 34], and MAP7, which is targeted by miR-16 and regulates cell proliferation and the cell cycle in several cancer cell lines [35]. The down-regulated genes included BCL6, THAP2, ICAM1 and CEBPD as well as those encoding members of the toll-like receptor family (TLR4 and TLR8), which can function in pathogen recognition and activation of innate immunity, and CD14 and CD86, which can induce T-cell activation and related immune responses (Figure 4A and 4B).

We used pathway data provided by MSgiDB to evaluate cell signaling pathways associated with ATP1B1<sup>high</sup>. Pathway expression was estimated as the averaged expression of all involved genes. We found that important apoptotic and natural killer signaling pathways were significantly down-regulated, while RNA polymerase and CML pathways were significantly up-regulated (Figure 4E). These findings are consistent with the gene dysregulation summarized above, and suggest why ATP1B1<sup>high</sup> was associated with unfavorable outcomes in CN-AML.

**Genome-wide miRNA profiles associated with ATP1B1 expression**

Screening for differences in microRNA expression using high-throughput sequencing data from 79 CN-AML patients provided by TCGA, we identified 50 differentially expressed microRNAs (FDR < 0.05), including 38 that correlated positively with ATP1B1 expression and 12 that correlated negatively (See Table S4). Those positively correlated microRNAs included miR-146b, miR-125b, miR-100 and miR-155 (<i>P</i> = 0.02). MiR-146b binds to the 3‘UTR of SMAD4, an important member of TGF-β signaling pathway [36]. MiR-155 was previously confirmed to be an independent biomarker of a poorer prognosis in CN-AML [17]. Overexpression of miR-125b was shown to independently induce leukemia in a mouse model [37]. And miR-100 blocks the terminal differentiation of myeloid cell by targeting RBSP3 [38]. The most negatively correlated microRNA was miR-582, which reportedly inhibits bladder cancer progression [39]. Other negatively correlated microRNAs included miR-107 and miR-223. miR-107 targets CEBPA, which binds to the promoter of miR-223, ultimately inhibiting differentiation.

---

of granulocytic cells [40]. MiR-193a targets c-KIT and acts as a tumor suppressor in AML (See Figure 4C and 4D) [29].

**Genome-wide methylation profiles associated with ATP1B1 expression**

DNA methylation is an important epigenetic mechanism that regulates gene expression, and some methylation loci have been used to predict clinical outcomes in CN-AML [3]. Considering that expression of both DNMT3A and DNMT3B correlated positively with ATP1B1 expression, we carried out a genome-wide differential analysis to identify differences in the methylation patterns between ATP1B1^{high} and ATP1B1^{low} expressers based on a TCGA AML cohort of 74 CN-AML patients. Surprisingly, comparison of the methylation levels at several different regions (TSS200, TSS1500, Promoter, Body, 3'UTR and 5'UTR, See Figure S4) revealed no significant differences in methylation associated with ATP1B1 expression status.

**DISCUSSION**

ATP1B1 encodes an integral membrane ion pump responsible for maintenance of the Na⁺ and K⁺ electrochemical gradients across the plasma membrane, and contributes to the establishment of epithelial cell polarity [41] and cell adherence. In an earlier study we showed that strong expression of ITPR2, which encodes a key membrane Ca²⁺ transporter, is predictive of an unfavorable outcome in CN-AML [15]. The present study demonstrates that overexpression of ATP1B1 may also predict a poor prognosis in CN-AML patients. Together, these studies suggest that metal ion (e.g., Ca²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺) transport status has an important impact on outcome in CN-AML.

Our first main finding is that ATP1B1 is more strongly expressed in CN-AML than normal BM (Figure 1A and 1B), which suggests ATP1B1 plays an active role during the pathogenesis of leukemia. The higher ATP1B1 expression was easily detected using qPCR, which is readily applicable for clinical use. In addition, patients with higher ATP1B1 expression were classified mainly into the M1 and M2 FAB subgroups, with fewer in the M5 subgroup, suggesting that ATP1B1^{high} expressers carry more immature cells, which likely indicates greater malignancy. ATP1B1^{high} is significantly associated with FLT3-ITD and shows higher expression in ELN Intermediate-I than in the Favorable category, which are consistent with ATP1B1's prognostic role. However, ATP1B1 shows only a trend toward lower expression in CN-AML patients with NPM1 mutation, as well as a slight trend toward higher expression in patients with single or double CEBPA mutation, which is not consistent with the known prognostic role of CEBPA mutations. Perhaps there were too few samples with CEBPA mutations (5 with single and 16 with double mutations), and the discrepancy reflects an aberrant result that does not reflect the true efficacy of CEBPA mutation as prognostic indicator.

We also demonstrated that high ATP1B1 expression was an unfavorable prognostic biomarker for CN-AML patients, based on clinical and molecular characteristics prior to treatment and OS/EFS across the entire cohort or within ELN categories. These results indicate that ATP1B1 expression could be used for risk stratification, not only with respect to the entire CN-AML patient population, but also within ELN intermediated-I categories, which could facilitate design of more suitable therapies and has important clinical significance. The prognostic value of ATP1B1 was confirmed in another cohort of 162 CN-AML patients. Moreover, to avoid possible distortion of the microarray data, high-throughput sequencing data from TCGA was used as a second independent validating dataset, which included 41 CN-AML patients treated with uniform chemotherapy (P = 0.039, See Figure S5). All of these results show that overexpression of ATP1B1 is predictive of an adverse outcomes in CN-AML. Because there are no recurrent chromosomal alterations, CN-AML shows a degree of genetic uniformity, which facilitates identification of new biomarkers but limits the scope of their application. We therefore evaluated the prognostic value of ATP1B1 in a cohort of 344 AML samples containing a variety of karyotypes. Notably, overexpression of ATP1B1 was associated with a shorter OS and EFS (P = 0.027 and P = 0.0169, respectively. See Figure S6), indicating that overexpression of ATP1B1 may be an unfavorable biomarker in both CN-AML and AML.

To investigate the potential mechanisms by which ATP1B1 expression affects patient outcome, we carried out a multi-omics analysis. The first gene/microRNA expression profiles and methylation loci associated with ATP1B1 expression were determined, including related cell signaling pathways. Many known oncogenes and unfavorable CN-AML biomarkers were found to be up-regulated, while various tumor suppressors and immune factors were down-regulated. In addition, important apoptotic and natural killer signaling pathways were all significantly down-regulated, while RNA polymerase and CML pathways were significantly up-regulated. These aberrant changes to the transcriptome likely contribute to the unfavorable outcomes for CN-AML.

MicroRNA-involved regulation and methylation are important epigenetic mechanisms, which play essential roles in many biologic processes, including tumorigenesis. In this study, several microRNAs known to be involved in tumorigenesis were found to be associated with ATP1B1 expression, and may represent potentially useful therapeutic targets. No methylation patterns were associated with ATP1B1 expression. Perhaps, demethylation agents would be of no use for patients
strongly expressing ATP1B1.

In conclusion, our work demonstrates that overexpression of ATP1B1 may be a useful unfavorable biomarker for evaluating CN-AML outcomes. Because of its higher expression in CN-AML patients than healthy individuals, ATP1B1 may be easily detected using qPCR in clinical applications. Furthermore, our genome-wide analysis of aberrant gene/microRNA expression and cell signaling may lead to a better understanding of potential leukemogenic mechanisms, thereby aiding development of new therapeutic strategies for the treatment of CN-AML.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient samples

This research was approved by the institutional review boards at Weill Cornell Medical College and Erasmus University Medical Center, and all patients provided written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki [47]. The study participants were 157 primarily untreated CN-AML patients (median age: 50 years, range: 16-77 years). Among them, 130 (83%) were aged < 60 years (younger patients) and 27 (17%) were ≥ 60 years (older patients). Patients were uniformly treated according to the study protocols of the Dutch-Belgian Hematology-Oncology Cooperative Group (HOVON, http://www.hovon.nl). BM aspirates and PB were collected at the time of diagnosis at the Erasmus University Medical Center (Rotterdam) between 1990 and 2008 [45]. The samples all contained 80%-100% blast cells after thawing [46]. To make a diagnosis of a normal karyotype, more than 20 metaphases from BM were examined using conventional cytogenetics. NPM1, CEBPA, IDH1 and IDH2 mutations; FLT3-internal tandem duplications (FLT3-ITD); and N-RAS, K-RAS and FLT3-tyrosine kinase domain mutations (FLT3-TKD [D835]) were all assessed. An independent validating cohort of 162 CN-AML patients all received uniform treatment (intensive double induction and consolidation chemotherapy) based on the multicenter AMLCG-1999 trial of the German AML Cooperative Group between 1999 and 2003 [48]. The AMLCG-1999 clinical trials were approved by the local institutional review boards, and all patients provided written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Microarray studies

All the microarray data used in our study were derived from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and were available for public downloading. Expression data for the 157 CN-AML patients in the primary cohort were detected using an Affymetrix HG-U133A array [45], while the validating cohort of 162 CN-AML patients was evaluated using an Affymetrix HG-U133A array [49]. High-throughput sequencing data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) were also used [50], including mRNA, microRNA and methylation data.

Statistical analysis

Samples were divided into two groups (ATP1B1\textsuperscript{high}, n = 78; ATP1B1\textsuperscript{low}, n = 79) based on the median ATP1B1 expression level. In addition, high and low classifications of ERG, BAALC, WT1, LEF1, N1, EVII, DNMT3B, TCF4, ITPR2, MAPKBP1 and MIR155HG were determined according to the median expression of the corresponding genes. When comparing the ATP1B1\textsuperscript{high} and ATP1B1\textsuperscript{low} patient groups, Fisher’s exact test and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to compare categorical and continuous variables, respectively. Kaplan-Meier and log-rank test were used for survival analysis. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to study the time-to-event factors associated with survival endpoints. Student’s t-test was used to identify ATP1B1-associated genes, pathways and methylation sites. Pearson correlation test was performed to detect correlated expression between ATP1B1 and microRNA sequencing profiles. All analyses were performed using R 3.1.1.
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