Oncogenic cancer/testis antigens: prime candidates for immunotherapy
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ABSTRACT

Recent developments have set the stage for immunotherapy as a supplement to conventional cancer treatment. Consequently, a significant effort is required to further improve efficacy and specificity, particularly the identification of optimal therapeutic targets for clinical testing. Cancer/testis antigens are immunogenic, highly cancer-specific, and frequently expressed in various types of cancer, which make them promising candidate targets for cancer immunotherapy, including cancer vaccination and adoptive T-cell transfer with chimeric T-cell receptors. Our current understanding of tumor immunology and immune escape suggests that targeting oncogenic antigens may be beneficial, meaning that identification of cancer/testis antigens with oncogenic properties is of high priority. Recent work from our lab and others provide evidence that many cancer/testis antigens, in fact, have oncogenic functions, including support of growth, survival and metastasis. This novel insight into the function of cancer/testis antigens has the potential to deliver more effective cancer vaccines. Moreover, immune targeting of oncogenic cancer/testis antigens in combination with conventional cytotoxic therapies or novel immunotherapies such as checkpoint blockade or adoptive transfer, represents a highly synergistic approach with the potential to improve patient survival.

INTRODUCTION

It is clear that most cancers are immunogenic, and that the immune system can, under certain conditions, control tumor growth. However, it appears that in patients with evident disease, the spontaneous immune responses are unable to control tumor growth and, consequently, a significant research effort has been initiated to boost anti-tumor immune responses by therapeutic interventions, e.g. antibodies targeting inhibitory T-cell pathways, cancer vaccines or the adoptive transfer of in vitro expanded T cells. Recently, recombinant or chimeric T-cell antigen receptors have been introduced for adoptive T-cell therapy, an approach wherein polyclonal T cells are redirected toward cancer cells that express defined antigens by the transfer of genes encoding those antigen-specific receptors.

T cells are the major effector cells involved in the immune surveillance of cancer by virtue of their ability to detect quantitative and qualitative differences of presented antigens on transformed cells. Indeed, carcinogenic alterations result in an altered protein repertoire. Among the different types of tumor antigens, cancer/testis (CT) antigens represent highly promising therapeutic targets due to a unique set of features. In healthy adults, CT antigen expression is limited to male germ cells, but ectopic expression can be observed in tumor cells of multiple types of human cancer [1-7]. Male germ cells are devoid of HLA-class I molecules and cannot present antigens to T cells [8]. Therefore, CT antigens can be considered neoantigens when expressed in cancer cells and have the capacity to elicit immune responses that are strictly cancer-specific. While the immune-privileged nature of testis germ cells will likely result in decrease or absence of peripheral immune tolerance to CT antigens [9], CT antigen expression has been demonstrated in the
medullary thymic epithelial cells that mediate negative selection of self-reactive T cells to tissue-specific proteins [10]. Nonetheless, cellular and humoral immune responses to CT antigens are frequently observed in cancer patients [11-18], and there is an association between CT antigen expression and cytolytic activity of tumor immune infiltrates [19]. Thus, CT antigens represent the promise of highly specific immune targeting of a wide range of human cancers.

In a milestone article (as well as a sequel article a decade later), Hanahan and Weinberg described ‘the hallmarks of cancer’, i.e., the characteristic features of cancer cells, including the capacity for uncontrolled growth (abnormal cell cycle regulation), resistance to death (apoptosis resistance), the potential to migrate and grow at distant sites (metastasis), and the ability to induce the growth of new blood vessels (attract endothelial cells), etc [20, 21]. It is well established that molecular events (genetic and epigenetic changes) are responsible for these cancer traits. In Hanahan and Weinberg’s recent update, immune evasion by cancer cells was also included as a hallmark. Our current understanding of tumor immunology suggests that tumor antigen-negative variants may evolve as the result of immunologic pressure, and thus it would be beneficial to target antigens, which, if lost, would reduce the ability of the cancer cells to thrive [22]. Thus, the proteins or protein patterns associated with, or responsible for, these hallmarks of cancer represent ideal targets for therapeutic intervention, including immunotherapy. Several T-cell antigens involved with these cancer traits have been characterized, as exemplified by Cyp1B1, telomerase, survivin (cell division), Bcl-2, Bcl-X(L), survivin, (resistance to apoptosis), RhoC (metastatic potential), survivin, VEGFR (angiogenesis). However, none of them exhibit the cancer-specific expression of CT antigens. Thus, the identification of onco-CT antigens (onco-CTAs) is of high priority, but requires in-depth characterization of the molecular and cellular functions of CT antigens. Only recently have critical insights into the function CT antigens in tumor cells emerged that, indeed, suggest the onco-CT antigens for multiple CT antigens.

In this article, we review the current understanding of CT antigen functions in oncogenesis and discuss how this novel insight may result in more effective cancer vaccines and new therapeutic strategies.

THE CANCER/TESTIS ANTIGEN GROUP

MAGE-1 (melanoma-associated antigen 1) was the first CT antigen to be discovered twenty years ago using autologous typing with T-cell clones from a melanoma patient with a favorable clinical course [23]. Further typing of cancer patient T cells and serological analysis of cDNA libraries (SEREX) subsequently lead to the identification of many additional immunogenic CT antigens [24-28]. In recent years, however, additional CT antigens have been added purely based on expression profiling in normal and malignant tissues, and therefore the antigenic properties of many of these remain elusive [29-32]. At present, the known CT antigen group consists of more than 200 proteins [33], a number that is continuously increasing (an overview is provided in Supplementary Table 1 and 2, data from the CTDatabase at http://www.cta.lncc.br).

CT antigens can be categorized into two subclasses depending on the chromosomal localization of their encoding genes. One subclass is the chromosome X-encoded CT antigens (Supplementary Table 1), which are generally highly germ cell-specific and immunogenic. Remarkably, CT antigens constitute more than 10% of the coding sequence on the X chromosome [34], and there appears to have been a strong diversifying selection of CT antigen genes during primate evolution resulting in the generation of CT antigen families with multiple members (MAGE, GAGE, SSX, CT45 etc.) [34, 35]. For instance, the MAGE CT antigens have evolved on three different clusters on chromosome X encoding the MAGE-A, -B and -C subfamilies with 12, 6 and 2 members, respectively [36]. All MAGE proteins contain the MAGE-homology domain, but otherwise exhibit significant differences in structure and function. In contrast to MAGE genes, the GAGE gene cluster is very heterogeneous, comprising from 13 to 39 gene copies encoding highly identical, and likely functionally similar, GAGE proteins [37-39]. Chromosome X-encoded CT antigens tend to be expressed during the fetal stages of germ cell development and in undifferentiated adult male germ cells (i.e. spermatogonia).

The additional subclass of CT antigens is composed primarily of proteins encoded by single-copy genes located on the autosome (BAGE, HAGE, SP17 etc.; Supplementary Table 2). These CT antigens tend to be expressed in meiotic and post-meiotic stages of male germ cells and their expression frequency in cancer is generally lower [40].

Notably, not all CT antigens are strictly limited to male germ cells in normal tissues. Some can be further classified as testis-restricted (only testis), testis-selective (testis and no more than 2 additional tissues) or testis/brain-restricted (expressed in testis and the central nervous system) [3]. How the expression of some CT antigens in a limited number of normal tissues other than testis affects their antigenic properties and potential as therapeutic targets remains largely unresolved.

ONCOGENIC FUNCTIONS OF CANCER/TESTIS ANTIGENS

Cells of the germ line and the closely related trophoblasts share many features with cancer cells (Figure 1). For instance, when primitive germ cells (i.e. primordial germ cells) arise in the wall of the yolk sac they are highly motile and able to penetrate tissues as they journey to the gonadal primordium [41]. This process
Figure 1: Shared characteristics between germ cells and cancer cells. Germ cells and cancer cells share many features that are absent in most other cell types, suggesting that germ cell programs contribute to cancer development and progression. In addition to cancer/testis antigen expression, these characteristics include proliferation, migration, colonization and meiosis/genomic instability.
of germ cell colonization of the gonad in many ways resembles the progression of cancer cells from primary tumor to metastasis. Moreover, during spermatogenesis, germ cells exhibit characteristics similar to cancer cells. The immature spermatogonia maintain their proliferative capacity throughout life and continuously differentiate into spermatocytes, which go through meiosis comparable to the chromosomal changes observed in most cancers. Trophoblasts also exhibit overlapping features with cancer cells, as they are invasive and burrow into the endometrium to implant the embryo, as well as proliferate vigorously to form the non-maternal part of the placenta. These observations led to the hypothesis that activation of embryonic or gametogenic programs are among the driving forces of tumorigenesis [42], a concept supported by the fact that many germ cell and placental proteins, including CT antigens, are aberrantly expressed in cancer [43, 44]. In recent years, the functions of CT antigens have received extensive investigation, and the emerging data are consistent with the concept that reactivation of germline genes might confer central characteristics to cancer cells (Figure 2).

Multiple cancer/testis antigens affect cancer cell growth

Sustained growth is an important feature of all cancer cells and the net result of deregulation of the delicate cell cycle machinery that balances proliferative signaling and cell survival signals. Importantly, multiple CT antigens have been shown to support cancer cell growth. We have recently shown that knockdown of the CT antigen SSX2 in melanoma cancer cells significantly reduces cellular proliferation [45]. This finding was supported by another study demonstrating that SSX proteins activate several important growth pathways, such as MAPK and Wnt [46]. SSX2 is a DNA binding protein that associates with and regulates the structure of chromatin [47]. This includes, but is likely not limited to, antagonistic regulation of the function of Polycomb Group proteins, which are important epigenetic regulators of gene expression and implicated in cancer development [48]. Thus, current results suggest that SSX proteins support cancer cell proliferation through regulation of gene expression. The CAGE CT antigen also seems to promote

Figure 2: Oncogenic functions of cancer/testis antigens. Tumorigenesis involves acquisition of a specific set of essential capabilities (as described in the ‘Hallmarks of cancer’ by Hanahan and Weinberg’[20, 21]). These include uncontrolled growth, resistance to death (apoptosis), the potential to migrate and grow at distant sites (invasion and metastasis), the ability to induce the growth of new blood vessels (induce angiogenesis), etc. Underlying these hallmarks is genomic instability, which generates the genetic variation that accelerates their acquisition. Cancer/testis antigens confer several of these important capabilities to cancer cells, suggesting that they are directly implicated in tumorigenesis.
cell proliferation as it has been shown to enhance Cyclin D1 and E levels, thereby stimulating cell cycle progression [49]. Furthermore, CAGE have angiogenic potential, which may indirectly support cancer cell growth [50].

MAGE proteins are among the functionally and immunologically most well-characterized CT antigens, and several members of the MAGE CT antigen family have been implicated in cancer cell survival [51-60]. Most importantly, MAGE CT antigens bind and regulate the function of the essential tumor suppressor p53, thereby increasing survival of cancer cells. Knockdown of MAGE-A, -B and -C proteins in melanoma cells inhibited complexing of p53 and its co-repressor KAP1, leading to increased p53 activity and apoptosis [59]. Further, MAGE-A proteins have been demonstrated to directly block the interaction of p53 with chromatin, thereby inhibiting its effect as a transcriptional regulator [60], and to strongly down-regulate p53 function by recruiting transcription repressors [histone deacetylases (HDACs)] to p53-regulated genes [57]. In addition, multiple MAGE family proteins have been identified as functional regulators of E3 RING ubiquitin ligases, which facilitate the proteasomal degradation of many proteins, including p53 [58]. Members of the GAGE-like CT antigen family (GAGE and PAGE proteins) also confer apoptotic resistance to various types of cancer cells. GAGE7 prevented apoptosis in response to different types of apoptotic stimuli [55, 61, 62], and knockdown of PAGE4 induced cell death and attenuated tumor growth in vivo [63].

Cellular senescence is a state of irreversible growth arrest that can occur, for example, in response to excessive oncogene stimulation, and seems to be an important tumor suppressor mechanism [64]. Currently, little is known about how cells bypass the senescence response in tumorigenesis, although a growing body of evidence implicates the involvement of Mage-A2. In human fibroblasts, Mage-A2 was found to maintain cell proliferation in response to RasV12 expression by limiting the senescence response to this oncogene [65]. This effect of Mage-A2 was also attributed to its inhibition of p53 function, suggesting that Mage-A2 could play a novel role in early progression to malignancy by interfering with p53 function. This may block the senescence program, a critical barrier against cell transformation. Further studies addressing the potential cooperation between CT antigens interfering with cell cycle regulation and oncogenic signaling should be of high priority.

The above results show that several CT antigens may support tumor growth, but also indicate that CT antigens may be important in treatment responses to cytotoxic or growth inhibitory anti-cancer drugs. Clearly, all CT antigens that enhance cell survival may decrease the effectiveness of treatment with cytotoxic agents. This has been demonstrated with MAGE-A, MAGE-C, GAGE, PAGE-4 and CAGE, which render cells resistant to DNA damage-inducing drugs commonly used in the clinic, such as etoposide and paclitaxel [52, 55, 57, 61, 63, 66], as well as additional cytotoxic drugs used in cancer treatment [51, 52, 61]. MAGE-A proteins have also been proposed to be involved in development of tamoxifen-resistance in breast cancer since they are up-regulated in tamoxifen-resistant clones and knockdown of MAGE-A2 sensitized cells to tamoxifen. Further, significant association between MAGE-A expression and reduced overall survival in a series of estrogen receptor-positive, tamoxifen-treated, breast cancer patients was observed [67]. Thus CT antigens may be considered as both prognostic and predictive markers.

**Cancer/testis antigens and metastasis formation**

A clear link between CT antigen expression and cancer progression has been observed [7, 68-71]. CT antigens are rarely expressed in benign neoplastic lesions, such as carcinoma in situ and melanocytic nevi [72], but are frequently expressed in primary melanoma, and even more frequently in metastases. For example, the frequency of MAGE-A1 and -A4 expression in primary melanoma tumors were 20% and 9%, respectively, but 51% and 44%, respectively, in distant metastases [71]. This indicates that CT antigens may play a direct role in the highly complex process of metastasis, which involves several steps, including local invasion, intravasation into blood and lymphatic vessels, survival in the circulation, extravasation into distant tissues and colonization. An important feature of cells with metastatic capability is increased motility and invasive potential. Interestingly, several CT antigens, including MAGE-C2, GAGE, XAGE1, CAGE and CT45A1, have been demonstrated to enhance both phenotypes [73-75]. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process by which epithelial cells lose their cell-cell adhesion and cell polarity, and gain migratory and invasive properties characteristic of mesenchymal cells. EMT has been shown to be instrumental for metastatic progression of several types of cancer, especially melanoma [76]. Molecular analysis of the effects of MAGE-C2 expression in breast cancer cells revealed signs of EMT (i.e. reduced E-cadherin, reduced cytokeratin, increased vimentin and increased fibronectin) [73]. Similarly, SSX, CAGE and CT45A1 have been shown to regulate the function of central EMT proteins (i.e. beta-catenin, SNAIL and TWIST) [46, 74, 77], and may support the transition to a metastatic phenotype. In contrast to melanocyte differentiation antigens (i.e. MART and GP100), which are down-regulated during EMT, CT antigens have been shown to be up-regulated or unchanged in expression [78]. This is consistent with the enhanced expression of CT antigens in metastases relative to primary tumors, and suggests that CT antigens may be prime candidates for blocking metastatic progression or targeting cancer cells in established metastatic lesions.
GAGE proteins are the only CT antigens that have been identified in both migrating primordial germ cells and trophoectodermal cells [79, 80], which are highly motile and invasive. Furthermore, knockdown of GAGE proteins in melanoma cells lines greatly reduce their migration and invasion. We, and others, have found that GAGE proteins are highly up-regulated in metastatic clones of isogenic breast and gastric cancer models, further suggesting a role in metastasis formation [81]. However, direct involvement of GAGE proteins in metastasis remains to be demonstrated. Further elucidating the effect of GAGE proteins as well as additional CT antigens on metastases formation in in vivo models should be of high priority.

**Cancer/testis antigens and genomic integrity**

The genome of cancer cells is unstable and constantly subject to mutations, chromosomal rearrangements and loss or gain of chromosomes. This genomic instability is largely responsible for the generation of mutant genotypes that confer selective advantages on cell subclones that, in turn, supports tumorigenesis. The extent to which different mechanisms affect genomic instability is controversial, but DNA double-strand breaks and abnormal segregation of chromatids during mitosis are generally accepted to be involved. In addition, the meiotic process involves the generation of double-strand breaks during the exchange of genetic material between sister chromatids and pairing and segregation of chromatids. Therefore, it can be speculated that activation of meiotic programs in cancer cells may contribute to genome instability, and that meiosis-specific CT antigens, such as SPOI1, SCP1 and HORMAD1, may be involved [31, 82, 83]. SPOI1 is instrumental to meiotic chromatid exchange of genetic material by producing double strand breaks [84] and might promote chromosomal rearrangements in cancer cells by a similar mechanism. SCP1 and HORMAD1 are involved in chromosome pairing in the context of meiosis, and their presence in somatic cells may deregulate mitosis. Interesting, meiotic proteins have been implicated in reducing polyploidy in cancer cells and may serve as a means to maintain the balance between increased genome instability driving genetic variation and decreased genome instability necessary for propagating these malignant clones [85-87].

A number of additional CT antigens as well as other proteins preferentially expressed in testis have been shown to support productive mitosis in cancer cells. For instance, FMR1NB-, NXF2- STAR9D- and FSIP1-depletion in lung cancer cells enhanced the occurrence of mitotic arrest and micronucleation in response to induction of mitotic stress induced by Paclitaxel or Nocodazole treatment [88, 89]. Thus, selected CT antigens may be essential for mitotic fidelity and resistance to chemotherapeutics in cancer cells. Interestingly, overexpression of NXF2, STAR9D and FSIP1 also induced mitotic defects, and we have recently shown that the overexpression of the SSX2 CT antigen is associated with mitotic aberrations and genomic instability [45]. These results suggest that multiple CT antigens and testis proteins support delicate processes in cancer cells that, when disturbed, lead to aberrant mitotic phenotypes [88]. Such CT antigens may represent novel targets for anti-cancer therapy.

**ONCOGENIC CANCER/TESTIS ANTIGENS AS TARGETS FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY**

**Cancer/testis antigen vaccination**

The immunogenicity and cancer-specificity of CT antigens have made them prioritized targets for cancer immunotherapy, and their therapeutic function has been tested in a variety of clinical settings (reviewed in Gjerstorff et al. [90]). For historical reasons, MAGE-A and NY-ESO-1 in particular have been tested extensively as components in therapeutic cancer vaccines (Figure 3). CT antigen vaccines are generally well-tolerated, and immunological responses as well as some clinical responses have been obtained. There are presently a large number of ongoing cancer vaccination trials assessing the therapeutic efficacy of CT antigens. For instance, 35 open clinical studies on NY-ESO-1 are listed at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Some of the investigated CT antigens have known oncogenic effects (e.g. MAGE-A, MAGE-C2, SSX2, PRAME), whereas the functions in cancer of some CT antigens such as NY-ESO-1 remain unknown.

Several recent clinical trials have provided encouraging results. Treatment of advanced melanoma and ovarian cancer patients with a recombinant fowlpox-NY-ESO-1 vaccine resulted in NY-ESO-1 antibody and CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell responses in the majority of these patients, and CD8+ responses correlated with progression-free survival [91, 92]. Another study tested the interesting approach of delivering NY-ESO-1 to dendritic cells by fusing the antigen to an antibody specific for the dendritic cell surface molecule, CD205, in combination with Toll-like receptor agonists. Again, humoral and T-cell responses were obtained in patients with mixed advanced cancers, and 13 of 42 patients experienced disease stabilization [93]. This study also suggested that this treatment enhanced the effect of subsequent treatment with CTLA-4 immune checkpoint inhibitors.

The most comprehensive investigation used MAGE-A3 as a vaccine target in a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase III study in 2,272 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients (MAGRIT). Recombinant MAGE-A3-influenzae protein D fusion protein and the immunostimulant AS15 were administered to patients in up to 13 intramuscular injections over a period of 27 months. Unfortunately, this trial was
terminated in March 2014 (ESMO Congress 2014, Abstract 1173O), since it was shown that the vaccine did not significantly extend disease-free survival (DFS) compared to placebo. The same vaccine was also tested in another phase III clinical trial in 1,345 MAGE-A3-positive melanoma patients (DERMA), and this likewise failed with no improvement in DFS in the overall population (http://www.gsk.com). Why these two clinical studies were unsuccessful remains to be clearly determined, but the failure to induce sufficient cell-mediated responses may result from either the generally low immunogenicity of MAGE-A3 or the inability of the chosen vaccine design to break immune tolerance. The lack of effect may indeed advocate the need for more careful selection of tumor antigen targets. MAGE-A3 has been the most widely studied CT-antigen, but has only been shown to exhibit low immunogenicity. In addition, although MAGE-A3 has been demonstrated to possess tumor-promoting capabilities, functional studies have only been performed with thyroid and pituitary cancer cells [94, 95] and, to our knowledge, functional studies on MAGE-A3 have not been performed using NSCLC or melanoma cells.

Thus far, the majority of anti-cancer vaccination trials have targeted only a single antigen. However, recent data suggests that the clinical impact of immunotherapy increases by the inclusion of several antigens [96]. Thus, an exciting strategy would be to co-target biologically-connected proteins, e.g. several CT antigens involved in cancer cell survival, in a multi-epitope setting. This may increase the magnitude and flexibility of the vaccine-induced anti-tumor response and prevent the escape of tumor cells that would otherwise occur through selective loss of single target antigens. Although multiple CT antigens are up-regulated in many cancers, the amount of each antigen differs within individual cancer cells in the same tumor and between tumors of different patients with the same cancer type. Therefore, simultaneous targeting of these proteins may be a more effective strategy than targeting either molecule alone. It is not presently known how the redundancy of the many MAGE-A proteins or additional co-expressed CT antigens in regulation of survival pathways may affect tumor responsiveness.

**Figure 3: Cancer immunotherapies targeting cancer/testis antigens.** The goal of therapeutic cancer immunotherapy is to reverse immune escape of tumor cells by overcoming tolerance and increasing numbers of tumor-reactive T cells. This can be accomplished by boosting existing immune responses to tumor antigens, and cancer/testis antigens have proved useful as targets due to high tumor-specificity and immunogenicity. Currently two types of cancer/testis antigen-specific immunotherapy are used: vaccination and adoptive transfer. Vaccination stimulates the patient’s intrinsic immune response to cancer/testis antigens expressed by their tumor by administration of immunogenic peptides/proteins loaded on dendritic cells or in combination with adjuvants. Cancer/testis antigens are also popular targets for adoptive transfer wherein recombinant T-cell receptors specific for cancer/testis antigen epitopes are inserted into patient T cells, which are then expanded and transferred back to patients. Targeting oncogenic cancer/testis antigens in vaccination and adoptive transfer regimens may greatly reduce the risk of outgrowth of escape variants.
to anti-MAGE-A3 treatment. In that respect, it will be interesting to see the outcome of ongoing trials evaluating the effect of vaccines targeting multiple antigens affecting cancer cell growth and survival. In a completed, but unreported, study, several MAGE family members (i.e. MAGE-A1, MAGE-A3, MAGE-A4, MAGE-A10 and MAGE-C2) were targeted simultaneously in melanoma patients using a peptide vaccine. Additional studies will target different proteins affecting proliferation and survival using autologous T cells (i.e. MAGE-A4, SSX, PRAME and survivin) in lymphoma, myeloma and mixed solid cancer patients (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov identifiers: NCT00365937, NCT01333046, NCT02239861, NCT02291848). Future studies should focus on regimens integrating emerging knowledge about tumor antigen function and immune stimulation to generate optimal anti-tumor responses.

Although individualized cancer vaccines targeting mutation antigens are currently receiving a great deal of attention, there may be several advantages of polyvalent CT antigen vaccines. The use of shared antigens, such as oncogenic CT antigens, as vaccine targets does not necessitate the tedious and time-consuming genome sequencing required to identify patient-specific antigens and antigen synthesis. Polyvalent CT antigen vaccines can be administered early after diagnosis and prevent further progression of the tumor before initiation of treatment. Furthermore, CT antigens may be preferred targets for types of cancer with low prevalence of somatic mutations [97].

Cancer/testis antigens for adoptive T-cell therapy

Administration of in vitro expanded infiltrating T cells from melanoma tumors following myeloablative lymphodepleting regimens have been shown to induce tumor regression in more than 50% of patients with metastatic melanoma, and durable complete responses have also been achieved [98]. A similar approach for other types of human cancer is complicated by the difficulties with obtaining tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. To expand this approach to a broader patient population, tumor-reactive T cells can be generated by genetically modifying autologous T cells to express recombinant or chimeric T-cell receptors directed against common tumor antigens, a type of therapy for which CT antigens are among the high priority targets (Figure 3). Their frequent expression in many types of cancer makes CT antigen-directed T-cell therapy applicable to many patients, and their restriction in normal tissues to the HLA class I-negative germ cells likely prevents adverse effects. T-cell receptors against epitopes from MAGE-A4, NY-ESO-1 and SSX2 have been developed and are currently under evaluation for clinical function [99-101]. Preliminary results have demonstrated that clinical responses can indeed be achieved with CT antigen-directed T-cell therapy. For instance, patients with NY-ESO-1-positive melanoma and synovial sarcoma receiving autologous T cells transduced with an NY-ESO-1-specific T-cell receptor demonstrated clinical responses in 55% and 61% of the cases, respectively. This strategy did not cause any cytotoxicity in patients. However, other clinical trials with modified autologous T cells have highlighted the importance of target specificity. Although MAGE-A3 exhibits high cancer cell specificity, severe toxicity of MAGE-A3-directed T-cell therapy has been reported in two instances. In one trial, two patients died from severe brain damage apparently due to cross-reactivity of the T-cell receptor-transduced PBMCs with a highly similar epitope from MAGE-A12, which is expressed in tissues of the normal brain [102]. Similarly, two patients experienced cardiac arrest after treatment with autologous PBMCs transduced with a modified MAGE-A3 T-cell receptor, which apparently cross-reacted with an epitope of the cardiac protein titin [103, 104]. Although these unfortunate events can be attributed to the lack of T-cell receptor specificity, they also emphasize the need for absolute target specificity, suggesting that CT antigens and mutation antigens may be appropriate targets for this highly potent strategy.

COMBINATION THERAPIES WITH ONCOGENIC CANCER/TESTIS ANTIGENS

Strategies that combine current knowledge of conventional treatments with data on how these treatments impact the T cell population and the immune system are important elements in the development and optimization of novel treatment regimens, which should also include novel insight into the biology of CT antigens. Chemotherapeutic agents impact not only tumor cell proliferation but also cell survival, and it is evident that various drugs can kill tumor cells by activation of common apoptotic pathways. Essentially all cytotoxic anticancer drugs, e.g. microtubule binding drugs, DNA-damaging agents and nucleosides, induce apoptosis of malignant cells, but drug resistance is a major limiting factor of chemotherapeutics that may also lead to cross-resistance to other drugs with different mechanisms of action [105]. Cancer-associated defects in apoptosis play a vital role in drug resistance [106]. Interestingly, as described above, several CT antigens have been associated with impaired apoptosis [51-61, 63, 66]. Anti-apoptotic CT antigens are prime candidates for immunotherapy since they facilitate the escape of malignant cells from cytotoxic therapies. Thus, the combination of immunotherapy targeting anti-apoptotic CT antigens with conventional chemotherapy appears to be highly synergistic. In an ideal combinational therapeutic setting, conventional therapy would kill the majority of the cancer cells, leaving only cells that express high levels of CT antigens, which would be particularly vulnerable to killing by therapy-induced CT antigen-specific T cells (Figure 4A). The synergy of these
Figure 4: Targeting oncogenic cancer/testis antigen in combination with other therapies. A. Chemotherapy may only kill the bulk of tumor cells, leaving chemoresistant cells. Since several cancer/testis antigens have been demonstrated to inhibit apoptosis in cancer cells and lower the response to cytotoxic anti-cancer drugs, targeting cancer/testis antigens in combination with chemotherapy may be effective. B. DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors, which have been approved for treatment of hematologic malignancies, can induce cancer/testis antigen expression in cancer cells and reverse intratumoral heterogeneity. Thus, treatment with DNMT inhibitors may boost the effect of vaccines targeting oncogenic cancer/testis antigens. C. The foremost limitation to expanding adoptive transfer of T cells to treatment of multiple types of human cancer is the need for sufficient numbers of tumor-infiltrating T cells. Vaccination with cancer/testis antigens expressed in the tumor can increase these numbers in cancer types wherein such cells are sparse. Thus, the combination of adoptive transfer and cancer/testis antigen vaccination may be beneficial. D. Tumor antigen vaccination can enhance the effect of immune-checkpoint blockade, and directing the immune response to antigens important for the tumor cells, such as oncogenic cancer/testis antigens, may further enhance the effect of this combination treatment.
measures would, consequently, result in a more effective treatment than either regime alone.

Spontaneous immunity against CT antigens can be introduced as a response to treatment with DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors, such as 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-2'-CdR) or 5-azacytidine [107, 108], which have been approved for treatment of hematologic malignancies and may also be useful for solid cancers. These agents have the ability to reverse epigenetic silencing of genes in cancer cells and restore normal function of multiple cellular processes, including cell-cycle regulation, apoptosis and immune recognition [109, 110]. DNMT inhibitors have been described to up-regulate expression of many CT antigens, e.g. MAGE, GAGE, NY-ESO-1 and PRAME [107], and such up-regulation has been associated with induction of MAGE-specific T-cell responses in acute myeloid leukemia and myeloid dysplastic syndrome patients [107]. Furthermore, PRAME antigen-specific killing of malignant cell lines by low-avidity CTL clones have been shown to be increased following treatment with DNMT inhibitors [111]. The clinical and prognostic significance of these CT-antigen-specific T-cell responses on the clinical efficacy of DNMT inhibitor treatment in hematologic malignancies remains to be defined, although it suggests that combining DNMT inhibitors with vaccination to induce CT antigen expression in both tumors and CT antigen-specific T cells may prove beneficial (Figure 4B). However, as novel insight has demonstrated that some CT antigens can also confer tumorigenic properties to cancer cells, DNMT inhibitors should be used with caution. Although the net result seems to be an inhibitory effect on tumor function, there may be unidentified deleterious effects, such as enhanced EMT, which supports metastasis.

Another utilization of the up-regulation of CT antigens by DNMT inhibitors may be combining DNMT inhibitors with adoptive transfer of CT-specific T cells. Accordingly, the combination of adoptive transfer of MAGE-A4-specific T cells with 5-aza-2'-CdR in patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma generated MAGE-A4-specific T cells and increased their anti-tumor T-cell repertoire [112]. Similarly, combinations of DNMT inhibition and CT antigen vaccination may enhance the frequency of tumor-specific T cells in tumors and thereby support adoptive T-cell transfer regimens (Figure 4C). As described above, genetically modifying autologous T cells to express recombinant T-cell receptors is an additional approach to target CT antigens, and may also naturally function synergistically with DNMT inhibition.

Antibodies that block the function of immune checkpoint molecules (i.e. CTLA-4 and PD1), resulting in T-cell activation, have greatly improved survival in metastatic melanoma as well as NSCLC, and are being tested for treatment of several additional types of cancer [113-115]. This demonstrates that the immune system can be modulated to effectively control tumor growth, although a significant number of patients do not respond to this treatment or experience relapse. Recent results suggest that augmented anti-tumor effects can be obtained by combining immune checkpoint blockade with vaccination [93, 116-119]. Thus, vaccination with CT antigens, and oncogenic CT antigens in particular, should clearly be considered in combination with immune checkpoint inhibition for enhanced effects (Figure 4D).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The frequent use of CT antigens in vaccination trials have been based on their high cancer specificity, immunogenicity and relatively frequent expression in many different types of human cancer. As discussed in this review, recent results show that multiple CT antigens exhibit characteristics important for tumorogenesis, suggesting that targeting such oncogenic CT antigens may control cancer development. For instance, the targeting of CT antigens that enhance cancer cell growth and/or survival would diminish the tumorigenic potential. Likewise, targeting of CT antigens that promote EMT may reduce the risk of metastases. Thus, oncogenicity should be considered an important criterion for selection of CT antigens for future immunotherapy, and functional characterization of CT antigens should be of high priority in order to identify more oncogenic CT antigen targets or CT antigens expressed by cancer cell subpopulations important for tumor initiation and progression.

Recent advances in breaking immune tolerance in cancer patients using immune checkpoint inhibitors has set the stage for immune targeting as a supplement to conventional treatment of human cancer, and a significant effort remains to further improve efficacy and specificity of treatment. Overall, the synergistic effects of conventional and immunological therapies necessitate re-thinking current clinical strategies both with respect to the chosen chemotherapeutics and the design of the selected immunotherapy. Thus, targeting oncogenic CT antigens seems to be a promising, broadly applicable approach that can synergistically be combined with conventional cytotoxic therapies or novel types of immunotherapy such as checkpoint blockade or adoptive transfer.
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