Comprehensive analysis of targetable oncogenic mutations in Chinese cervical cancers
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ABSTRACT

Mutations in 16 targetable oncogenic genes were examined using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and direct sequencing in 285 Chinese cervical cancers. Their clinicopathological relevance and prognostic significance was assessed. Ninety-two nonsynonymous somatic mutations were identified in 29.8% of the cancers. The mutation rates were as follows: PIK3CA (12.3%), KRAS (5.3%), HER2 (4.2%), FGFR3-TACC3 fusions (3.9%), PTEN (2.8%), FGFR2 (1.8%), FGFR3 (0.7%), NRAS (0.7%), HRAS (0.4%) and EGFR (0.4%). No mutations were detected in AKT1 or BRAF, and the fusions FGFR1-TACC1, EML4-ALK, CCDC6-RET and KIF5B-RET were not found in any of the cancers. RTK and RAS mutations were more common in non-squamous carcinomas than in squamous carcinomas (P=0.043 and P=0.042, respectively). RAS mutations were more common in young patients (<45 years) (13.7% vs. 7.7%, P=0.027). RTK mutations tended to be more common in young patients, whereas PIK3CA/PTEN/AKT mutations tended to be more common in old patients. RAS mutations were significantly associated with disease relapse. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive analysis of major targetable oncogenic mutations in a large cohort of cervical cancer cases. Our data reveal that a considerable proportion of patients with cervical cancers harbor known druggable mutations and might benefit from targeted therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the seventh most common and the eighth deadliest cancer in Chinese women. In 2010, it affected approximately 77,000 women and killed over 21,000 women in China [1]. Current treatment protocols for invasive cervical cancer are mostly based on surgery and chemoradiotherapy. Despite improved multidisciplinary treatment, the prognosis of advanced/recurrent cervical cancer is still poor, with a median overall survival (OS) ranging between 10 and 13 months [2].

A detailed understanding of somatic mutations in genes that encode signaling proteins with crucial roles in cellular proliferation and survival has led to the development of highly specific inhibitors that target key oncogenic pathways. Recently, molecularly targeted therapies have dramatically improved the treatment outcomes in patients whose tumors harbor activated mutant kinases such as mutant EGFR, BRAF and HER2 or translocated ALK [3-7]. The identification of such “druggable” mutant kinases in human cancer is becoming increasingly important. However, the question of whether cervical cancers harbor identifiable driver oncogenic mutations and benefit from targeted therapy has remained largely unanswered. Neither the prevalence of activating mutations nor the relevant clinicopathological characteristics are well established, and these factors are of paramount importance in the design of clinical trials for advanced or recurrent cervical cancers.
To investigate such “druggable” oncogenic genetic alterations in cervical cancer, we examined the mutational status of 16 oncogenic genes—KRAS, NRAS, HRAS, Braf, Pik3ca, Pten, Akt1, Her2, Egfr, Fgfr2 and Fgfr3 as well as Fgfr3-tacc3, Fgfr1-tacc1, Eml4-alk, Ccddc6-ret and Kif5b-ret fusions—in a cohort of 285 Chinese patients with resected cervical cancer using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and direct sequencing.

RESULTS

Tumors from 285 Chinese patients with cervical cancer were examined, including 179 patients with SCCs, 62 with ACs, 34 with ASCs, and 10 with other rare histopathological types. More extensive patient data are available in Supplementary Table S2.

Mutation Profile

A total of 92 nonsynonymous somatic mutations were identified in the 285 cervical cancers by Sanger sequencing, including 77 missense substitutions, 1 nonsense substitution, 2 in-frame deletions, 1 frameshift deletion and 11 in-frame fusions (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig.S1 and Supplementary Table S3). The mutation rates of the tested genes were 27.4% (49 of 179) in SCC, 33.9% (21 of 62) in AC, 26.5% (9 of 34) in ASC and 60% (6 of 10) in the other rare histological subtypes. The mutation rates in AC and the other rare histological types were higher than that in SCC; however, these differences were not statistically significant (P=0.335 and P=0.066, respectively).

Eighteen (6.3%) cancers were found to harbor RAS missense mutations, including 15(5.3%) in KRAS, 2(0.70%) in NRAS and 1(0.4%) in HRAS (Fig. 2). Thirty-five (12.3%) cancers harbored Pik3ca mutations, including 32 occurring in exon 9 and 3 in exon 20. Among these mutations, E545K (c.1633G>A) and E542K (c.1624G>A) were found in 20 (7.0%) and 11 (3.8%) cancers, respectively; H1047R (c.3140A>G) was found in 2 cancers and was associated with an increased response to PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway inhibitors in a previous clinical trial [8]. Eight (2.8%) samples harbored Pten somatic mutations. No mutations were found in BRAF or AKT1.

Ten (3.5%) cancers harbored Fgfr2 missense substitutions, including 32 occurring in exon 9 and 3 in exon 20. Among these mutations, E545K (c.1633G>A) and E542K (c.1624G>A) were found in 20 (7.0%) and 11 (3.8%) cancers, respectively; H1047R (c.3140A>G) was found in 2 cancers and was associated with an increased response to PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway inhibitors in a previous clinical trial [8]. Eight (2.8%) samples harbored Pten somatic mutations. No mutations were found in BRAF or AKT1.

HER2, Egfr, Fgfr2 and Fgfr3 missense substitutions were observed in 10(3.5%), 1(0.4%), 5(1.8%) and 2(0.7%) of the cancers, respectively. Two small-cell neuroendocrine carcinomas harbored Her2 in-frame deletions in exon 21.

Eleven (3.9%) cancers were found to harbor Fgfr3-tacc3 fusions. Four variants were identified (Fig. 3). The Fgfr1-tacc1, Eml4-alk, Ccddc6-ret and Kif5b-ret fusions were not found in any of the cancers.

Clinicopathological characteristics of the patients with mutations

Table 1 shows the occurrence of the 16 oncogenic mutations in different groups according to different clinicopathological features. RTK mutations were more common in non-squamous carcinomas than in squamous carcinomas (15.1 vs. 7.3%, P=0.043). Ras mutations were also more frequent in non-squamous carcinomas than in squamous carcinomas (10.4 vs. 3.9%, P=0.042). Ras mutations were more common in young patients (<45 years) than in old patients (≥45 years)(13.7% vs. 7.7%, P=0.027). RTK mutations tended to be more common in...
young patients, whereas PIK3CA/PTEN/AKT mutations tended to be more common in old patients; however, these differences were not statistically significant. No correlation was found between the 16 oncogenic mutations and disease severity (deep stromal invasion, parametrial invasion, LVSI, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis). Of the two patients exhibiting distant metastasis, one harbored a PIK3A mutation, whereas the other patient harbored a FGFR3-TACC3 fusion.

### Clinical outcome

Overall, 75.8% (216 of 285) of the patients received adjuvant therapies after surgery. The median follow-up duration was 35 months (from 20 to 43 months). During follow-up, recurrence information was available for 268 of 285 (94.0%) patients. Forty-nine patients experienced disease recurrence, including 16 patients with known oncogenic mutations. Because the follow-up data were not sufficiently mature, only RFS was assessed according to the mutation status of the tested genes. The 3-year RFS in patients with RAS mutations was 52.3%, which was significantly lower than that in patients with PIK3CA/.

### Table 1 The prevalence of the 16 oncogenic mutations in different groups according to different clinicopathological features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>PIK3CA/PTEN/AKT</th>
<th>RTKs*</th>
<th>RAS/RAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;45</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥45</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopause status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC+ASC+Others</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4cm</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4cm</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of myometrial invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1/2</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1/2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVSI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parametrial involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* RTKs included EGFR, HER2, FGFR2. FGFR3 mutations and FGFR3-TACC3 fusions.

Fisher’s exact test was employed to assess the difference between groups.

- **Clinical outcome**
PTEN mutations (85.7%), patients with RTK mutations (86.1%), and patients without mutations (81.4%). The disease-free survival in patients with mutated PIK3CA/PTEN or RTK was similar to that of patients without mutations (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Recently, the development of targeted therapeutics has made it both necessary and valuable to evaluate genetic alterations in various cancers and to subdivide tumors based on the results of molecular genotyping. Currently, drugs that target mutant EGFR, RAF, HER2, ALK, RET and FGFRs are clinically available [3-7, 9,
To date, inhibitors of RAS, PIK3CA, PTEN or AKT1 have not been approved by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA); however, inhibitors of downstream targets such as mTOR and MEK have shown therapeutic efficacy in a wide variety of tumors [11-14]. Furthermore, small molecules that irreversibly bind to mutant KRAS (G12C) have been developed and have shown promising antitumor activity in vitro [15]. According to the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) database, the mutation profiles of these genes and their clinicopathological characteristics in cervical cancer are not well established. Oncogenic mutations were examined in samples that were very limited in size (typically less than 100 cases), and the gene mutation status was not concomitantly investigated [16-19]. In addition, it has remained unclear whether patients with cervical cancer harbor gene translocations. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first comprehensive and concurrent large-scale analysis of oncogenic mutations in Chinese patients with cervical cancer.

The main findings of this study were that 29.8% of the patients with cervical cancer harbored oncogenic mutations in the 16 genes assessed, and the mutation rates of PIK3CA/PTEN, RTK and RAS were 15.1%, 10.2%, and 6.3%, respectively. RTK and RAS mutations have been found to be more common in histological subtypes of non-SCCs that are resistant to radiation and chemotherapy and are associated with poor survival [20-22]. Approximately one third of the patients with recurrent disease harbored those targetable mutations. Thus, our data provide a clear rationale for clinical trials of targeted inhibitors in patients with cervical cancer especially those advanced and recurrent cases.

Another significant new finding is the discovery of FGFR3-TACC3 fusions in cervical cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first report describing FGFR3-TACC3 fusions in cervical cancer. We found that 3.9% of cervical cancers harbored these intrachromosomal translocations. The fusion proteins encoded by these genes transform normal cell lines, and FGFR kinase inhibitors
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**Figure 4**: Disease-free survival (RFS) curves plotted by Kaplan-Meier method for the 285 patients based on the mutation status of the 16 tested genes. A. Comparison of RFS in the patients with mutations and the patients without mutations. B. Comparison of RFS in patients with different mutations.
counteract the oncogenic activity of these proteins[23-25]. Patients with tumors that carry FGFR3-TACC3 fusions might potentially benefit from FGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, such as pazopanib, ponatinib, PD173074, AZD4547 and BGJ398 [26, 27].

In addition, our results confirm and extend previous findings reported in the literature. The KRAS mutation rate in this study (5.3%) was lower than that described in previous studies (6.3-13.9%) (19, 28, 29), which might be a result of the different distribution of histological subtypes or different populations. BRAF mutations in cervical cancer were not observed in this study, as previously reported in a study by Pappa et al.[28]. The frequency of PIK3CA mutations was 12.3% in this study, which was consistent with COSMIC data and the outcomes of a recent next-generation sequencing study [30]. In the present series of patients who underwent surgery-based multimodality therapy, patients harboring PIK3CA mutations did not have a survival disadvantage. However, McIntyre et al. reported that PIK3CA mutation was strongly associated with worse overall survival in early-stage cervical cancer patients treated with radical chemoradiotherapy [31]. Further investigations are warranted to confirm whether PI3K pathway inhibitors could improve radiotherapy efficacy in cervical cancer patients who have PIK3CA or PTEN mutations. Recurrent HER2 mutations in cervical cancer were first discovered by Ojesina et al. [30], and our findings confirmed that HER2 mutations were not unusual among cervical malignancies. HER2 in-frame deletions in small-cell neuroendocrine carcinomas warranted further investigation and functional validation. Our study suggested that somatic mutations in EGFR, FGFR2, and FGFR3 are rare in cervical cancers; this result was similar to the findings of previous studies [16, 17, 32].

Although many oncogenic gene mutations were analyzed in this study, there were some limitations. First, protein expression and gene copy number changes were not examined in this study, which might have prevented us from identifying patients who would be suitable for targeted therapy, such as those with HER2 or EGFR overexpression. Second, not all mutations were located in well-known hotspots; thus, some of the mutations identified in this study might serve as ‘passenger mutations’. Third, the primers used for the translocation tests did not cover all isoforms of the tested genes. We therefore not exclude the possibility that some gene fusions might have been missed.

In summary, our data reveal that a considerable proportion of patients with cervical cancers harbor known druggable mutations and might benefit from targeted therapy. These findings provide support for clinical trials involving PI3K pathway inhibitors, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and MAPK pathway inhibitors in patients with cervical cancer detected by targeted molecular screening.

METHODS

Patients and specimens

This research was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center (FUSCC). All patients provided written informed consent. A total of 285 Chinese women were included in this study after it was determined that they met the following criteria: pathologically confirmed primary cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma (AC), adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC) or small cell carcinoma; stage IB1-IIA2 disease according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system; no neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiation; and no history of pre-operative cone biopsies. Cervical tumor specimens were collected during radical hysterectomy procedures from January 2011 to December 2012. Each specimen was cut into two blocks. One was fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned and stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin for histological examination. The other was stored in RNAlater solution (Ambion) at -80°C for mutational analysis. Only specimens in which tumor cells accounted for more than 50% of the whole tissue were processed to mutational analysis. Histopathological characteristics and tumor contents were confirmed by two pathologists (X Shen and W Yang). Clinical and pathological data were prospectively collected and included the following: age, menopause status, histological subtype, FIGO stage, tumor size, depth of invasion, lymphovascular space involvement (LVSI), lymph node status, distant metastasis, parametrial involvement and tumor differentiation. The patients were followed up in the clinic or by telephone to determine disease recurrence.

Mutational analyses

RNA and genomic DNA were extracted from the tumor tissues according to the standard protocols provided with the DNA/RNA Isolation Kit (Tiangen Biotech); 2 μg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into single-stranded cDNA using an M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Invitrogen). The presence of mutations was determined in NRAS (exons 1-3), KRAS (all exons), HRAS (exons 1-3), BRAF (exons 13-16), PIK3CA (exons 8-11,18-20), PTEN (exons 5-9), AKT1 (exons 1-3), HER2 (exons 5-12, 16-25), EGFR (exons 18-22), FGFR2(exons 5-9,10-15) and FGFR3 (exons 5-9) as well as in FGFR3-TACC3, FGFR1-TACC1, EML4-ALK, CCDC6-RET and KIF5B-RET fusions. cDNA was PCR amplified with KOD plus neo DNA polymerase (Toyobo). The PCR products were sequenced from both ends using Sanger sequencing. All mutations were confirmed by an additional independent
PCR. The primers and the detailed PCR protocol are provided in Supplementary Table S1. Germline mutations were excluded by sequencing the DNA extracted from paired peripheral blood cells or corresponding formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded normal uterus corpus tissues. Paraffin embedded tissues were retrieved from archival specimens stored in pathology department and reviewed by the two pathologists to exclude tumor involvements.

Statistical analysis

The association between mutations and clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed by Fisher’s exact test. Relapse-free survival (RFS) was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the differences between the groups were determined using the log-rank test. The 2-sided significance level was set at P<0.05. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19 (IBM Inc.).
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